

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

**Product name:** Balanced ESG strategy (hereinafter referred to as Portfolio)  
**Legal entity identifier:** 097900BJFP0000196858

## Environmental and/or social characteristics

### Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Yes</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>No</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> It made <b>sustainable investments with an environmental objective:</b> ____% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> It <b>promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics</b> and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of ____% of sustainable investments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> with a social objective</li> </ul>
<input type="checkbox"/> It made <b>sustainable investments with a social objective:</b> ____%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It promoted E/S characteristics, but <b>did not make any sustainable investments</b>

**Sustainable investment** means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.



### To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The portfolio promotes environmental characteristics by actively monitoring the ESG characteristics of the companies in which it invests through ESG score and carbon intensity. The portfolio also conducts a comparison with an ESG investment benchmark to evaluate the suitability of the chosen investment goals.

When determining the ESG portfolio score and its permissible investments, ESG performance is assessed by comparing the average ESG score of an asset with the benchmark.

During the reported period, there was no explicit commitment to invest in sustainable investments in accordance with the SFDR. The selected sustainability indicators reflect environmental and social properties enforced by the portfolio, although the SFDR indicators have not been taken into account.

**Sustainability indicators** measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

In addition to the active monitoring of the ESG properties, during the monitored period the portfolio has enforced environmental and social features with the exclusion of companies whose main source of income is any of the following areas:

- Arms industry (both conventional and nuclear)
- Unconventional oil and gas extraction
- Coal extraction
- Tobacco
- Civilian firearms
- Conventional weapons
- Nuclear weapons

### ● **How did the sustainability indicators perform?**

The ESG score is defined by the MSCI Methodology – <https://www.msci.com/esg-and-climate-methodologies>

The carbon intensity is determined on the basis of MSCI data and - [MCSI Methodology](#)

Ticker	Name	Allocation	ESG score	Carbon intensity
USRIH IM	MSCI USA SRI Climate Net Zero Ambition PAB	36,00 %	8,33	28,79
ECRP FP	Amundi Index Euro Corp SRI	2,00 %	7,61	60,04
MIVB GY	Amundi Index MSCI Europe SRI PAB	12,00 %	9,32	25,36
SADM GY	Amundi MSCI Emerging ESG Leaders - UCITS ETF DR	9,00 %	6,79	218,36
UETO GY	UBS LFS Bloom MSCI US Liquid Corporates Sustainable	4,00 %	6,88	44,94
EMIE GY	UBS ETF J.P.Morgan EM IG ESG Diversified Bond	8,00 %	5,23	235,39
U1FB GY	UBS LFS MSCI Japan Socially Responsible	3,00 %	8,68	36,34
UEEG GY	iShares USD Development Bank Bonds	4,00 %	8,15	3,88
UEEF GY	iShares USD High Yield Corp Bond	12,00 %	6,04	148,44
AYE2 GY	iShares EUR High Yield Corp Bond	10,00 %	6,64	101,99
<b>Portfolio</b>		<b>100 %</b>	<b>7,55</b>	<b>77,77</b>

### ● **...and compared to previous periods?**

Compared to the previous period, the portfolio had a slightly higher ESG rating and lower carbon intensity.

### ● **What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?**

Cannot be applied. In the reviewed period, the portfolio did not have an explicit commitment to implement sustainable investments in accordance with the SFDR.

**Principal adverse impacts** are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.



***How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?***

Cannot be applied. In the period under review, the portfolio did not have an explicit commitment to implement sustainable investments in accordance with the SFDR.

- **How have the indicators of adverse effects on sustainability factors been taken into account?**

The portfolio did not have a direct explicit commitment to sustainable investments in accordance with the SFDR in the period under review, but it does follow the "carbon intensity" indicator that corresponds to the PAI indicator "greenhouse gas intensity". The portfolio indirectly takes into account the adverse effects on sustainability factors considered by the ETF issuers included in the investment strategy.

- **Sustainable investments have been harmonized with OECD guidelines for multinational enterprises and UN basic principles in the field of business and human rights? Details:**

Cannot be applied. In the reviewed period, the portfolio did not have an explicit commitment to implement sustainable investments in accordance with the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR).

**The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.**

**The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.**

**Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.**



**How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?**

With the exception of carbon intensity, the portfolio did not directly take into account the Principal Adverse Impacts (PAI) indicators.

The portfolio indirectly takes into account the adverse effects on sustainability considered by the ETF issuers included in the investment strategy.

During the reporting period, the investment process took into account elements related to PAI, with an emphasis on meeting three basic objectives::

1. Reduce the exposure to companies that face the most environmental, social and governance risks or are the least prepared to face them.
2. Reduce the exposure to or completely exclude companies from controversial sectors.
3. Not to deviate significantly in ESG reference values in the chosen benchmark.



## What were the top investments of this financial product?

Largest investment	Sector	% Assets	Country
MSCI USA SRI Climate Net Zero Ambition PAB	Mix sektorov	36,00 %	USA
Amundi Index Euro Corp SRI	Mix sektorov	2,00 %	Európa
Amundi Index MSCI Europe SRI PAB	Mix sektorov	12,00 %	Európa
Amundi MSCI Emerging ESG Leaders - UCITS ETF DR	Mix sektorov	9,00 %	Krajiny rozvíjajúcich trhov
UBS LFS Bloom MSCI US Liquid Corporates Sustainable	Mix sektorov	4,00 %	USA
UBS ETF J.P.Morgan EM IG ESG Diversified Bond	Mix sektorov	8,00 %	Krajiny rozvíjajúcich trhov
UBS LFS MSCI Japan Socially Responsible	Mix sektorov	3,00 %	Japonsko
iShares USD Development Bank Bonds	Mix sektorov	4,00 %	Svet
iShares USD High Yield Corp Bond	Mix sektorov	12,00 %	USA
iShares EUR High Yield Corp Bond	Mix sektorov	10,00 %	Európa


The list contains investments that represent the largest share of investments of the financial product as of 31.12.2024.



## What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

### What was the asset allocation?

The allocation of assets describes the share of investments in specific assets.



**#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

**#2Other** includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:

- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

● *In which economic sectors were the investments made?*

Industry	Allocation (in %)
Financial	17,82 %
Consumer, Non-cyclical	15,33 %
Technology	15,26 %
Other	12,01 %
Industrial	9,27 %
Consumer, Cyclical	8,33 %
Communications	8,01 %
Government	7,80 %
Utilities	2,51 %
Basic Materials	2,24 %
Energy	1,30 %
Diversified	0,13 %

Each of the ETFs included in the portfolio contains a small amount of cash or derivatives. These components are used to ensure the technical functioning of the product.



**To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?**

0 % - The portfolio did not have an explicit commitment to implement sustainable investments in accordance with the SFDR.

Activities aligned with taxonomy are expressed as a share:

- **A turnover** that reflects the share of income from ecological activities of the companies in which it invests;
- **Capital Expenditures (CapEx)**, which express the ecological investments of the companies in which it invests, eg. the transition to the green economy;
- **Operating costs (OpEx)**, which reflect the ecological operational activities of the companies in which it invests.

**Supporting activities** directly allow other activities to contribute significantly to the fulfilment of the environmental goal.

**Transitional activities** are activities for which low -carbon alternatives are not yet available and which, moreover, have levels of greenhouse gas emissions corresponding to the best performance.

**Sustainable investments** with the environmental goal do not take into account the criteria of environmentally sustainable economic activities according to EU taxonomy.

- **What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?**  
**0**

- **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

Will not be used. In the reviewed period, the portfolio did not have an explicit commitment to implement sustainable investments in accordance with the SFDR.

- **What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy**

Will not be used. The portfolio did not have an explicit commitment to implement sustainable investments in accordance with the SFDR during the reported period.

- **What was the share of socially sustainable investments?**

Will not be used. In the period under review, the portfolio did not have an explicit commitment to implement sustainable investments in accordance with the SFDR.

- **What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?**

Investments included in the "Other" category may include the funds used for liquidity purposes. However, this item may not be included in the product at certain times. These investments are not covered by any minimum environmental or social guarantees.



## **What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?**

As already mentioned, emphasis was placed to meet three basic goals:

1. Reduce the exposure to companies that face the most environmental, social and governance risks, or are the least prepared to face them.
2. Reduce the exposure to or completely exclude companies from controversial sectors.
3. Not to deviate significantly in ESG reference values from the chosen benchmark.



## **How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?**

There are 2 reference values in the form of an ESG score and a carbon intensity value for each financial product. These values compare the financial products with the benchmark.

**Reference values** are indexes for measuring whether the financial product reaches the environmental or social characteristics that it promotes.

### Benchmark

Name	Allocation	ESG score	Carbon intensity
Xtrackers MSCI AC World ESG Screened	60 %	6,83	79,59
iShares Global Aggregate Bond	40 %	6,31	115,31
<b>Portfolio</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>6,68</b>	<b>95,59</b>

### Balanced ESG portfolio

Ticker	Name	Allocation	ESG score	Carbon intensity
USRIH IM	MSCI USA SRI Climate Net Zero Ambition PAB	36,00 %	8,33	28,79
ECRP FP	Amundi Index Euro Corp SRI	2,00 %	7,61	60,04
MIVB GY	Amundi Index MSCI Europe SRI PAB	12,00 %	9,32	25,36
SADM GY	Amundi MSCI Emerging ESG Leaders - UCITS ETF DR	9,00 %	6,79	218,36
UETO GY	UBS LFS Bloom MSCI US Liquid Corporates Sustainable	4,00 %	6,88	44,94
EMIE GY	UBS ETF J.P.Morgan EM IG ESG Diversified Bond	8,00 %	5,23	235,39
U1FB GY	UBS LFS MSCI Japan Socially Responsible	3,00 %	8,68	36,34
UEEG GY	iShares USD Development Bank Bonds	4,00 %	8,15	3,88
UEEF GY	iShares USD High Yield Corp Bond	12,00 %	6,04	148,44
AYE2 GY	iShares EUR High Yield Corp Bond	10,00 %	6,64	101,99
<b>Portfólio</b>		<b>100 %</b>	<b>7,10</b>	<b>107,86</b>

#### ● *How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?*

Due to the broad range of the global stock market portfolio, the chosen benchmark portfolio can be considered very close to the broad market index. The reference value is subsequently determined by this benchmark and does not deviate much from the broad market index.

#### ● *How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?*

Since the product achieves comparable sustainability indicators such as the benchmark, it can be stated that sustainability goals are in line with the market standard for such a broad global range product.

● ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

As the product benchmark is determined using a benchmark that is close to the broad market index, a look at the values in the tables above shows that the product deviates slightly from the benchmark benchmark values in the main monitored parameters. The ESG rating of the portfolio is by 91 hundredths better than the benchmark; both are at level A. The carbon intensity of the portfolio is by 7.37 points lower than the benchmark.

● ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

Compared to the broad market index, which is very close to the product benchmark, the product achieves comparable performance and does not deviate too much from it. The ESG rating of both the portfolio and the benchmark is at level A. The carbon intensity of the portfolio is by 7.37 points lower compared to the benchmark.